
RESEARCH ELSEWHERE

Robert A. Olsen

The Mechanisms of Market Efficiency: An Introduction To The New Finance

By Lynn Stout

The Journal of Corporation Law, Vol. 28, No. 4, 2003, pp. 635–669.

This one of those extremely rare exquisitely reasoned and written critiques that one encounters all too infrequently in academic research. It also demonstrates the great value of cross-disciplinary research where, in this case, a legal scholar comments on the theoretical and practical significance of the Efficient Market hypothesis. Professor Stout begins by arguing that the weaknesses of the efficient market hypothesis (EMH) were apparent from a careful inspection of its initial premises and that the market anomalies noted in recent years can be substantially explained by modifying the EMH's three main assumptions. Specifically, the author suggests that the assumptions of homogeneous expectations, effective arbitrage, and investor rationality (of the homo economicus variety) render the theory impotent as either a descriptive or prescriptive model of market behavior. In short, the utility of the model does not match its mathematical elegance and tractability. Professor Stout carefully dissects each of the three major assumptions and demonstrates how the limitations of each can be seen as the root cause of specific stock market anomalies. For example she points out that by assuming expectations heterogeneity, as opposed to homogeneity, one can readily explain why stock prices tend to be higher, rather than lower, for more risky and uncertain firms. She also discusses how loss aversion as opposed to risk aversion readily explains why the risk premium on equities appears to be so high over time. Finally, and most damaging of all, she points out that the combination of the EMH with the Capital Asset Pricing model (CAPM) results in a

prediction of fundamental security value that is tautologically, rather than behaviorally, determined.

The Behavioral Relevance of Mental Accounting for the Pricing of Option Contracts

By Bettina Rockenbach

Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, Vol. 53, 2004, pp. 513–527.

This controlled laboratory study investigates the degree to which investors tend to allocate funds among different portfolio assets as if the individual assets are independent parts of the investment portfolio. In particular, the issue in this experimental study was whether investors split their funds between safe and risky assets as if each of the two asset types represented separate portfolio layers or mental accounts. Student subjects (later replicated with investment professionals) repeatedly allocated funds among stocks, call options and a risk free bond. The principal finding was that the pricing of the option suggested that subjects saw stocks and options as substitutes and in a separate class from risk free bonds. More specifically, the results demonstrated the continuous existence of unexploited portfolio arbitrage opportunities. The author discusses how the empirical results are consistent with other research indicating that investors do not see investment funds as fungible, but instead treat their portfolio as a collection of independent accounts with assets to match each investment sub goal.

Consequences of Regret Aversion in Real Life: The Case of the Dutch Postcode Lottery

By Marcel Zeelenberg and Rik Pieters

Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, Vol. 93, 2004, pp. 155–168.

Previous research has shown that decisions may cause regret and that the anticipation of regret may cause decision makers to become risk seeking or risk averse, depending upon the particular situation. Most research on regret has adopted a scenario methodol-

Robert A. Olsen is Professor Emeritus from California State University, Chico and a Research Fellow with Decision Research in Eugene, Oregon. He holds graduate degrees in Finance from the University of Oregon and the University of Massachusetts. Professor Olsen has business experience as a Financial Analyst and consulting experience with small business start-ups. Professor Olsen's research interests are focused on Risk Perception and Behavioral Finance.

Requests for reprints should be sent to: Robert Olsen, Decision Research, 1201 Oak Street, Eugene, OR 97401. Email: olsenbfin@msn.com

ogy in which the subjects make hypothetical choices. The unique feature of this study is that it analyzes actual choice data from players of two alternative Dutch lotteries; lotteries that would be expected to create different levels of regret.

Dutch citizens can play two national lotteries. The National State lottery is one in which the winning number is randomly chosen from among the numbered sold tickets. The Postcode lottery is one in which the winning number is selected at random from all national postal addresses. However to win, the householder, who's house number is selected, must have purchased a ticket. The authors point out that the Postcode lottery is likely to create greater anticipatory regret and induce more people to play since, even if they didn't purchase a ticket, residents will know whether they would have won or lost when the winning address is announced. The empirical results support this prediction. In particular, many Dutch citizens report feeling almost compelled to play the Postcode lottery in order to avoid the feeling of regret of losing that would be caused by their failure to purchase a ticket. The authors compare the Postcode findings with regret possibilities from other forms of gambling and the regret related model of investor reluctance to sell poorly performing stocks.

How People Make Decisions That Involve Risk: A Dual Process Approach

By Valerie F. Reyna

Current Directions In Psychological Science, Vol. 13,
No. 2, 2004, pp. 60–66.

This short conceptual paper discusses a new way of thinking about how decision makers generate perceptions of risk. Specifically it examines the application of a dual process model of memory, called fuzzy trace theory, to risky decisions.

According to fuzzy trace theory, people form two kinds of mental representations, verbatim and gist representations. As opposed to verbatim representations, gist representations are based on traces of prior experience in the memory and are less precise and hence, fuzzy. In fuzzy trace theory people are assumed to normally remember and think on the basis of gist representations whereas previous memory models assume that people reason like a computer. That is, they follow formal rules of logic in a step-by-step fashion. In contrast, fuzzy trace theory assumes that people reason in a parallel mode, simultaneously bringing to bear alternative gists that seem relevant to the decision situation. Many of the anomalies associated with risk perceptions, such as the over estimation of small risks and the multiattribute nature of risk are seen to be more easily understood from a

gist perspective than a verbatim, logic focused perspective. Fuzzy trace theory also helps to integrate emotion into the risk taking process since gist also captures the emotional experience of prior outcomes.

Institutional Herding

By Richard Sias

The Review of Financial Studies, Vol. 17, No. 1, 2004,
pp. 165–206.

There is a very extensive theoretical literature providing a firm foundation for the existence of herding by institutional investors. However before this study there was not strong evidence of such actual behavior. However this extensive market based study provides rather conclusive evidence of herding among most types of financial institutions. Utilizing data on all institutional investors with more than 100 million under management and encompassing the period 1983 to 1997, the author finds that most institutional investors herd, with the tendency to herd greatest among bank trust departments and independent advisors and the least among mutual funds. Most herding appears to be based on informational cascades and is not momentum based, although most institutional investors appear to be momentum investors. In addition, institutions that herd tend to follow other like institutions. That is, banks herd on banks and mutual funds on mutual funds, etc. Finally, the author does not find strong evidence that herding appears to drive prices away from fundamental values but he does find that herding tends to decline over time and be less significant in the stocks of large firms.

Stock Prices and the Dividend Discount Model: Did Their Relation Break Down in the 1990s?

By Alireza Nassah and Jack Strauss

Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance, Vol. 44,
2004, pp. 191–207.

This empirically based paper uses market data and the well known dividend discount model to analyze the stability of the relationship between dividends and stock prices over the period 1979 to 1999. More specifically, the authors wished to determine whether the bubble in stock prices in the later 1990s was due to a fundamental change in the discounting process during this latter period. Because the dividend discount model is easier to fit to larger more stable firms, the author's sample was restricted to the firms in the S&P 100 Index. Using aggregate and firm specific data, the authors found that in general there was a stable relationship between stock prices

Copyright of Journal of Behavioral Finance is the property of Lawrence Erlbaum Associates and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.